

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 25.10.2018

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE C.T.SELVAM
and
THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE M.NIRMAL KUMAR

H.C.P.No.1250 of 2018

Rani

.. Petitioner

Vs.

1.The Government of Tamil Nadu rep. by its
Secretary,
Home, Prohibition and Excise (XVI) Department,
Secretariat, Fort St. George, Chennai-600 009.

2.The Commissioner of Police,
Office of Commissioner of Police,
Greater Chennai, Vepery,
Chennai.

.. Respondents

Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying to issue a Writ of Habeas Corpus, calling for the records relating to the order of detention NO.295/BCDFGISSSV/2018 dated, 03.05.2018 passed by the 2nd respondent and to quash the same and also to direct the detenu Karuna @ Karunakaran, S/O.Nagendran, who is presently detained in the Central Prison, Puzhal, Chennai to be produced before this Hon`ble court and set at liberty.

For Petitioner : Mr.K.Kannan

For Respondents : Mr.M.Mohamed Riyaz
Additional Public Prosecutor.

O R D E R

[Order of the Court was made by C.T.SELVAM, J]

Challenge is made to the order of detention passed by the second respondent vide Proceedings in No.295/BCDFGISSSV/2018 dated 03.05.2018, whereby the detenu, by name, Karuna @ Karunakaran, son of Nagendran, aged about 23 years, was ordered to be detained under the provisions of the Tamil Nadu Prevention of Dangerous Activities of Bootleggers, Drug Offenders, Forest Offenders, Goondas, Immoral Traffic Offenders, Sand Offenders, Slum-grabbers and Video Pirates Act, 1982 (Tamil Nadu Act 14 of

1982) branding him as a "GOONDA".

2. The detenu has come to adverse notice in the following cases:-

S.No.	Police Station & Crime No.	Sections of Law
1.	K-7 ICF Police Station Crime No.658/2017	341, 324 and 506(ii) IPC
2.	K-7 ICF Police Station Crime No.659/2017	341, 294(b), 397, 336 and 506(ii) IPC
3.	K-7 ICF Police Station Crime No.843/2017	380 IPC
4.	K-7 ICF Police Station Crime No.22/2018	379 IPC

The ground case has been registered against the detenu in Cr.No.87/2018 on the file of Inspector of Police, K-7 ICF Police Station, for offence u/s 341, 294(b), 336, 392, 397 & 506(ii) IPC. The detention order has been passed by second respondent in No.295/BCDFGISSSV/2018.

3. We have heard learned counsel for petitioner and the learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for respondents. We have also perused the records produced by the Detaining Authority.

4. Though many grounds have been raised in the petition, the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner, confines his argument only in respect of non-application of mind on the part of the detaining authority in passing the order of detention.

5. Further, the Grounds of Detention would reveal that 4 adverse cases have been registered against the detenu and a ground case was registered against him in Cr.No.87/2018 for offences u/s 341, 294(b), 336, 392, 397 & 506(ii) IPC. Admittedly, the detenu has moved a bail application before the V Metropolitan Magistrate Court, Egmore (Allikulam), Chennai in CrI.M.P.No.2151/2018 in Crime No.843/2017 on the file of K7 ICF Police Station and the same is pending. He has moved a bail application before the Principal Sessions Court, Chennai in CrI.M.P.No.7244/2018 in Crime No.87/2018 and bail was granted on 27.04.2018. He has not yet offered sufficient sureties in the case and still in Prison. He has not moved any bail application in Crime No.22/2018 on the file of K7-ICF Police Station. Therefore, the probability of release of the detenu imminently does not arise. Hence, we are inclined to set aside the detention order. Though the detaining authority has made reliance on similar case, in which an accused was granted bail, the facts involved in those cases are different. The principle of similarity cannot be applied mechanically. Normally, bails

are granted based on the facts and circumstances of each case. Therefore, similar case plea, cannot be taken into consideration. Hence, there is no material to substantiate that there is real possibility of the detenu coming out on bail and the said order was passed without application of mind.

6. In the result, the Habeas Corpus Petition is allowed and the order of detention in No.295/BCDFGISSSV/2018 dated 03.05.2018, passed by the second respondent is set aside. The detenu, namely, Karuna @ Karunakaran, son of Nagendran, aged about 23 years, is directed to be released forthwith unless his detention is required in connection with any other case.

Sd/-
Assistant Registrar(CS IX)

//True Copy//

Sub Assistant Registrar

kmi

To

- 1.The Secretary,
Home, Prohibition and Excise (XVI) Department,
Secretariat, Fort St. George, Chennai-600 009.
- 2.The Commissioner of Police,
Office of Commissioner of Police,
Greater Chennai, Vepery,
Chennai.
- 3.The Superintendent,
Central Prison, Puzhal, Chennai.
4. The Joint Secretary,
Public (Law and order) Department,
Secretariat, Chennai - 600 009.
- 5.The Public Prosecutor
High Court, Madras.

H.C.P.No.1250 of 2018

svi(co)
nr 28/11/2018

WEB COPY