

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 01.03.2018

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE K.RAVICHANDRABAABU

Writ Petition No.4559 of 2018
and
WMP.No.5617 of 2018

K.R.Anbazagan
S/o.Raja Reddy

.. Petitioner

Vs.

1. The Chairman
TANGEDCO
Anna Salai, Chennai.

2. The Superintendent of Engineer
TANGEDCO
Olimugamathupet
Kanchipuram.

3. The Junior Engineer
TANGEDCO
Kumarrajapet, Pallipat Taluk
Thiruvallur District.

4. The Assistant Account Officer
Revenue Division
TANGEDCO, Tiruttani
Thiruvallur District.

5. N.E.Narasimman
S/o.Elumalai Reddy

सत्यमेव जयते Respondents

Writ petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying for issuance of Writ of Mandamus, directing the 3rd respondent to consider the petitioner's representation dated 05.12.2017 to ensure change of the petitioner's name and restoration of electricity connection in service No.657-007-3 to the 5 HP Pump set in the well in Survey No.237/1B at Kumararajapet Village, Pallipet Taluk, Thiruvallur District.

For Petitioner : Mr.C.Chokkalingam
For Respondents : Mr.S.K.Rameshwar
standing counsel for R1 to R4

O R D E R

Mr.S.K.Rameshwar, learned standing counsel takes notice for the respondents 1 to 4. Since this writ petition is being disposed of without affecting the interest of the fifth respondent, notice to the said respondent is dispensed with.

2. The petitioner seeks for a mandamus directing the third respondent to consider his representation dated 05.12.2017 to effect change of the petitioner's name and for restoration of electricity service connection in service No.657-007-3.

3. Perusal of the proceedings annexed in the typed set of papers would disclose that as early as on 19.02.2015, an order was passed by the fourth respondent directing the petitioner to rectify such defects pointed out by the Department, so as to enable the Department to consider his application, which includes the pendency of the suit between the petitioner and the fifth respondent. Without challenging the said proceedings, the petitioner has filed the present writ petition, that too, seeking for mandamus.

4. The learned standing counsel for the respondents 1 to 4, based on instructions, submitted that the service connection has already been restored and however, insofar as the name change is concerned, the fifth respondent is objecting in view of the pendency of the suit.

5. In any event as the present writ petition is filed for mandamus, without challenging the order dated 19.02.2015, I do not think that the present writ petition is maintainable. Accordingly, this writ petition is disposed of, by granting liberty to the petitioner to agitate the said order dated 19.02.2015 in a manner known to law. No costs. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petition is closed.

Sd/-
Deputy Registrar

//True Copy// सत्यमेव जयते

Sub Assistant Registrar

WEB COPY

mk

To

1. The Chairman
TANGEDCO
Anna Salai, Chennai.

2. The Superintendent of Engineer
TANGEDCO
Olimugamathupet
Kanchipuram.

3. The Junior Engineer
TANGEDCO
Kumarrajapet, Pallipat Taluk
Thiruvallur District.

4. The Assistant Account Officer
Revenue Division
TANGEDCO, Tiruttani
Thiruvallur District.

+1cc to Mr.C.Chokkalingam, Advocate Sr.No15787
+1cc to Mr.S.K.Rameshwar, Advocate Sr.No.15864

sm:12.3.2018

W.P.No.4559 of 2018



WEB COPY