

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 11.01.2018

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE M.DHANDAPANI

S.A.Nos.1601 & 1602 of 1999

Sulochana Ammal ... 1st Appellant in SA1601& 1602/99

Mani ... 2nd Appellant in SA1601/99

Vs.

Anusuya Ammal ...Respondent in both SA 1601&1602/99

COMMON PRAYER: The Second Appeal has been filed under Section 100 of C.P.C. against the Judgment and Decree of Additional District Judge, Tiruvannamalai in A.S.168 & 169 of 1997 dated 09.02.1999 in confirming the Judgment and decree of the District Munsif, Thiruvannamalai in O.S.1061 & 1580 of 1994 and dated 30.09.1997.

For Appellant : Mr.V.Raghavachari

For Respondent : Mr.T.R.Rajendran

C O M M O N J U D G M E N T

Counsel on either side present. It has been represented today that the sole respondent had died and it is also seen that the appellant had not taken any steps to bring the legal representatives of the deceased respondent on record.

2. Hence, in the light of the above, the second appeals are dismissed as abated for not taking any steps to bring the legal heirs of the deceased sole respondent. No costs.

Sd/-
Assistant Registrar(CS V)

//True Copy//

Sub Assistant Registrar

kas

To.

1. The Additional District Judge,
Tiruvannamalai.

2.The District Munsif,
Thiruvannamalai.

3. The Section Officer,
V.R.Section,
High Court Madras.

+2cc to Mr.V.RAgavachari, Advocate, S.R.No.2313,3132

S.A.Nos.1601 & 1602 of 1999

KAN(CO)

RRK(05/02/2018)



WEB COPY