

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS
DATED : 05.12.2018
CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE R.MAHADEVAN

W.P.Nos.8417 and 8445 of 2009
and
M.P.Nos.2 and 2 of 2009

1.Dr.V.Balabaskaran
2.M.Srinivasan
3.A.R.Ganesan
4.S.K.Venkataraman
5.Tamilarasi
6.A.Ramaswamy

R5 and R6 rep.by their power agent
M/s.Kgeyes Residency Pvt. Limited
rep.by its Authorised Signatory.

... Petitioners
WP.No.8417 of 2009

1.G.Jayachandran
2.K.S.Raghuram
3.K.H.Narayani Amman @ Gnanambal
4.R.Ramachandran
5.R.Venkateshan
6.R.Balasubramaniam
7.Dr.Indira Seshan
8.K.S.Narayanaswamy
9.C.Manjula
10.S.N.Rajendran

... Petitioners
WP.No.8445 of 2009

Vs

1.State of Tamil Nadu,
rep.by Secretary to the Government,
Commercial Taxes and Religious Endowments Department
Fort St. George, Chennai-9.
2.The Chennai Metropolitan Development Authority,
rep.by its Member Secretary,
No.1, Gandhi Irwin Road,
Egmore, Chennai-600 008.
3.The Inspector General of Registration,
Santhome High Road,
Chennai- 600 004.

4.The Joint Sub Registrar No.1,
(In District Registrar Cadre)
Chennai South, Chennai - 600 015.

... Respondents

Petitions filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India to issue a Writ of Certiorarified Mandamus, calling for the records of the second respondent comprised in his letter Nos.B1/21602/2009 dated 11.4.2009 and B1/21604/2009 dated 17.4.2009 respectively and quash the same and consequently, direct the second respondent to consider and grant building permission to the petitioners in respect of its property situated at respective Flat Nos.M-5 and M-6 in TNHB Block, L.B.Road, Kamaraj Nagar, comprised in S.No.35 Part, T.S.Nos.21/2 and 21/3 respectively, Block No.10, Thiruvanmiyur Village, Chennai, without insisting on the execution of any gift deed in favour of the second respondent.

For Petitioners :Mr.R.Parthasarathy
For R1 :Mr.J.Ramesh, AGP
For R3 & R4 :Mr.P.P.Purushothaman
Government Advocate

COMMON ORDER

When the matters were taken up for consideration, the learned counsel for the petitioners, on instructions, submitted that the relief sought for herein has become infructuous and hence, nothing survives for adjudication in both the writ petitions.

2.In view of the above submission made by the learned counsel for the petitioners, both the writ petitions are dismissed as infructuous. No costs. Consequently, connected Miscellaneous Petitions are also dismissed.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar(CS)

//True Copy//

Sub Assistant Registrar

rk

To

1.Secretary to the Government,
Commercial Taxes and Religious Endowments Department
Fort St. George, Chennai-9.

2.The Member Secretary,
Chennai Metropolitan Development Authority,
No.1, Gandhi Irwin Road,
Egmore, Chennai-600 008.

3.The Inspector General of Registration,
Santhome High Road,
Chennai- 600 004.


4.The Joint Sub Registrar No.1,
(In District Registrar Cadre)
Chennai South, Chennai - 600 015.

+1 CC TO GOVERNMENT PLEADER SR.NO.82897,84028,84031

+1cc to Mr.R.Parthasarathy , Advocate SR.No. 83853

W.P.Nos.8417 and 8445 of 2009

A.SK(24/01/2019)



WEB COPY