

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 30.11.2018

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE R.MAHADEVAN

W.P.No.22437 of 2009
and
M.P.No.1 of 2009

Palanisamy

... Petitioner

... Vs ...

1. The District Collector,
Tirupur District,
Tirupur.

2. The Divisional Manager,
Tamil Nadu Civil Supply Corporation,
Erode Division, Erode.

3. The Tahsildar,
Avinasi Taluk,
Tirupur District.

... Respondents

Prayer: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India to issue a Writ of direction, directing the respondents are not to initiate any recovery proceedings against the petitioner for the arrears payable by the original owner of the Rice Mill to the second respondent Corporation.

For Petitioner : No Appearance

For Respondents : Mrs.K.Bhuvaneswari
Additional Government Pleader

- - - - -

ORDER

When the writ petition was taken up for hearing on 28.11.2018, there was no representation for the petitioner. Hence, the matter was directed to be posted today i.e, on 30.11.2018, under the caption "for dismissal". Even today, there is no representation for the petitioner either in person or through his learned counsel.

2. Therefore, this writ petition is dismissed for non-prosecution. No costs. Consequently, the connected miscellaneous petition is also dismissed.

Sd/-
Assistant Registrar(CS-VI)

//True Copy//

Sub Assistant Registrar

jrl

To

1. The District Collector,
Tirupur District, Tirupur.
2. The Divisional Manager,
Tamil Nadu Civil Supply Corporation,
Erode Division, Erode.
3. The Tahsildar,
Avinasi Taluk,
Tirupur District.

+1cc to Thiru.V.Selvanayagam, Advocate, S.R.No.82118

+1cc to the Government Pleader, S.R.No.82900

W.P.No.22437 of 2009

MR (CO)
SSM(07/01/2019).

सत्यमेव जयते
WEB COPY