

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED 16-11-2018

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S.M.SUBRAMANIAM

Second Appeal No.1439 of 2005

And

C.M.P.Nos.18829 and 18830 of 2005

Vadivelu

... Appellant/Plaintiff

...Vs...

- 1.Radhakrishnan
- 2.Chandrasekaran
- 3.Arumugam
- 4.Muthukumarasamy

... Respondents/Defendants

The Second Appeal is preferred under Section 100 of the Code of Civil Procedure, against the judgment and decree dated 30.12.2004 made in A.S.No.27 of 2004 on the file of the Principal Sub Court, Virudhachalam, confirming the judgment and decree dated 17.11.2003 made in O.S.No.1253 of 1992 on the file of the Principal District Munsif Court, Virudhachalam.

For Appellant : Mr.T.R.Rajaraman

For Respondents : Mr.Sezhian

J U D G M E N T

The learned counsel, appearing on behalf of the appellant, brought to the notice of this Court that the sole appellant died long back. In spite of the efforts taken by the learned counsel for the appellant to secure instructions for the purpose of proceeding with the Second Appeal, the efforts taken by the learned counsel for the appellant went in vain and the parties have not contacted the learned counsel for the appellant and accordingly, the learned counsel for the appellant is unable to conduct the Second Appeal. Thus, the Second Appeal stands closed. However, the appellant is at liberty to restore the Second Appeal, if he has chosen to do so within a reasonable

time. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petitions are also closed. However, there shall be no order as to costs.

Sd/-
Assistant Registrar(CS VI)

//True Copy//

Sub Assistant Registrar

Svn

To

1.The Principal Sub Judge,
Virudhachalam.


2.The Principal District Munsif Court,
Virudhachalam.

+1cc to Mr.T.R.Rajaraman, Advocate, S.R.No.78891

+1cc to Mr.R.Subramanian, Advocate, S.R.No.78675

S.A.No.1439 of 2005

RJ (CO)
GSP (03/12/2018)



WEB COPY