

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 21.03.2018

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE T.RAJA

W.P.No.6375 of 2018

M.Sridharan

.. Petitioner

-vs-

1. The Member Secretary
Tamil Nadu Public Service Commission
Chennai 600 003
 2. The Controller of Examinations
TNPSC
Chennai 600 003
 3. The Secretary
Rule and Notification Department
TNPSC
Chennai 600 003
 4. The Secretary
Oral Test Department, TNPSC
Chennai 600 003
- .. Respondents

Prayer :

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying for the issue of a Writ of Certiorarified Mandamus, to call for the entire records relating to the impugned selection proceedings of the first respondent in memo No.333/RID-2/2018 dated 21.02.2018 and quash the same as illegal arbitrary exercise of power and consequently direct the respondents to select the petitioner for appointment to the post of Assistant Geologist.

For Petitioner :: Mr.R.Venkatesan

ORDER

This writ petition has been filed challenging the impugned order dated 21.2.2018 passed by the Under Secretary/Public Information Officer of Tamil Nadu Public Service Commission informing the petitioner that as per note under para 7 of the instructions to applicants, para 6(L) & 14(F) of the notification, the application of the candidate bearing

Reg.No.010002119 has been rejected by the Commission as he has made wrong claim in his application regarding his community. While replying to Query Nos.2 & 3, the impugned order further says that the selection for the post of Geologist and Assistant Geologist in various departments is under process and the cut off mark sought for by the petitioner could not be furnished. Reply to Query No.4 also says that the candidates are selected based on marks obtained by them in the written examination, oral test, educational qualification, number of vacancies, rule of reservation of appointments and as per the para 23(b) of Commission's instructions to applicants. Therefore, the petitioner cannot come to this Court challenging the impugned order. Since the candidature of the petitioner has been rejected and the merit list of the candidates could be made available only after the declaration of the official result, the petitioner, if aggrieved, can challenge the same only then. With this observation, the writ petition stands dismissed. Consequently, W.M.P.No.7907 of 2018 is also dismissed.

Sd/-

Asst.Registrar (CS IX)

/true copy/

Sub Asst. Registrar

ss

To

1. The Member Secretary
Tamil Nadu Public Service Commission
Chennai 600 003
2. The Controller of Examinations
TNPSC
Chennai 600 003
3. The Secretary
Rule and Notification Department
TNPSC
Chennai 600 003
4. The Secretary
Oral Test Department, TNPSC
Chennai 600 003

+1cc to Mr.R.Venkatesan, Advocate, S.R.No.21212

W.P.No.6375 of 2018

SSV(CO)

RRK(16/04/2018)