

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 01.03.2018

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE M.S.RAMESH

CrI.O.P.No.6278 of 2018

M.Jothimani

... Petitioner

Vs.

1.The Commissioner of Police,
Tirupur City,
Tirupur.

2.The Inspector of Police
Central Police Station,
Tirupur.

... Respondents

PRAYER: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. praying to direct the first respondent to issue necessary direction to the second respondent to register the case on the basis of the complaint of the petitioner dated 02.02.2018 made to the first respondent.

For Petitioner : Mr.K.Kannan

For Respondents : Mr.C.Raghavan
Government Advocate (CrI.Side)

ORDER

This petition is filed seeking a direction to the 2nd respondent police to register a case on the basis of the petitioner's complaint dated 02.02.2018.

2.By consent of both sides, this Criminal Original Petition is taken up for final disposal.

3.The grievance of the petitioner is that inspite of a complaint given by him on 02.02.2018 to the 1st respondent , the same has been kept in abeyance without any action. It is well settled in the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in Lalita Kumari Vs. Government of Uttar Pradesh and others [2013 (6) CTC 353], that registration of an FIR is mandatory under Section 154 of the Code of Criminal Procedure if an

information furnished to the police officer disclose commission of a cognizable offence and in cases where the information does not disclose a cognizable offence, a preliminary enquiry has to be conducted.

4. The 1st respondent is not justified in having received the complaint and keeping it unattended without any further action. In view of the same, the petitioner has made out a case for this Court to invoke its inherent powers under Section 482 of the Criminal Procedure Code.

5. In the result, the petitioner is directed to give a copy of the complaint dated 02.02.2018 to the Station House Officer having territorial jurisdiction over the issue. On receipt of the said copy of the complaint, the concerned Station House Officer shall adhere to the following directions.

1) If the information received by the 2nd respondent discloses commission of a cognizable offence, then, the same shall be forthwith registered.

2) If an information received does not disclose a cognizable offence, the 2nd respondent shall conduct a preliminary inquiry to ascertain whether cognizable offence is disclosed therein or not and such inquiry shall be completed within a period of fifteen days from the date of information.

3) If the inquiry discloses the commission of a cognizable offence, the FIR must be registered, if not already registered or closed.

4) If the preliminary inquiry ends in closing the complaint, the disclosure report must be recorded along with the reasonings and a copy of the same shall be furnished to the complainant within one week.

5) All information relating to cognizable offences whether resulting in registration of FIR or leading an inquiry must be reflected in the general diary/station diary/daily diary of the 2nd respondent's police station.

6. In the result, the Criminal Original Petition is allowed with the above directions.

Sd/
Assistant Registrar

/True copy/

Sub Assistant Registrar

To

1.The Commissioner of Police,
Tirupur City,
Tirupur.


2.The Inspector of Police
Central Police Station,
Tirupur.

3.The Public Prosecutor,
High Court, Madras.

+1cc to Mr.K.Kannan Advocate SR.No.16029

SDR 13.03.2018

Cr1.O.P.No.6278 of 2018

The seal of the High Court of Judicature Madras is a circular emblem. It features a central yellow temple gopuram (tower) with a red lion capital of Ashoka in front of it. The text "HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE MADRAS" is written in a green circle around the central image. Below the seal, the Sanskrit motto "सत्यमेव जयते" (Satyameva Jayate) is written in Devanagari script. Below the motto, the words "WEB COPY" are printed in large, bold, grey capital letters.

सत्यमेव जयते

WEB COPY