

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 13.11.2018

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S.M.SUBRAMANIAM

SA.No.643 of 2006

and

C.M.P.No.9780 of 2006 and C.M.P.No.1577 of 2008

Mr.Srinivasa Udyar .. Appellant/Plaintiff

Vs.

1.Mr.Anandan

2.Mr.Subramanian

3.Mr.Pandian

4.Mrs.Vijayakumari .. Respondents/Respondents

Prayer: Second Appeal filed under section 100 of the Code of Civil Procedure against the judgment and decree of the learned Additional Sub-Ordinate Judge, Tindivanam in A.S.No.11 of 1994 on his file and dated 01.10.2002, confirming the judgment and decree of the learned District Munsiff, Gingee in O.S.No.385 of 1989 on his file and dated 22.12.1993.

For Appellant : Mr.V.Chandrakanthan

For Respondents : No appearance

JUDGMENT

The learned counsel for the appellant brought to the notice of this Court that the sole appellant died long back. This apart, one of the respondent also passed away during the pendency of the Second Appeal.

2.Thus, the learned counsel for the appellant is unable to secure any instructions from the appellant side, enabling him to get along with the case.

3.Thus, the Second Appeal stands dismissed as abated. If at

all, the parties are interested, it is left open to them to re-open the case within a reasonable period of time. No costs. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petitions are closed.

Sd/-
Assistant Registrar(CS IX)

//True Copy//

Sub Assistant Registrar

kak

To


- 1.The learned Additional Sub-Ordinate Judge,
Tindivanam.
- 2.The learned District Munsiff,
Gingee.

Copy To:-
The Section Officer
VR Section, High Court
Madras.

+1 cc to Mr.V.Chandraskhanthan, Advocate Sr.No.77904

CA(CO)
CSL/07.12.2018

SA.No.643 of 2006



WEB COPY