

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS
DATED : 05.01.2018
CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE M.DHANDAPANI

S.A.No.824 of 1997

Kandaswamy ... Appellant/Petitioner

Vs.

Ramasamy ... Respondent/Defendant

Prayer:

Second Appeal filed under Section 100 of C.P.C. against the judgment and decree of the learned Additional District Judge at Karaikal in A.S.No.14 of 1996 and dated 02.01.1997 reversing the well considered judgment and decree in O.S.No.15 of 1994 and dated 29.02.1996 the Principal District Munsif at Karaikal.

For Appellant : Mr.Sai Bharath Ilan
For Respondent : Mr.T.S.Suresh

J U D G M E N T

The learned counsel appearing for the appellant submits that the relief sought for in this second appeal has become infructuous and hence the second appeal may be dismissed as infructuous. He has also made an endorsement to that effect in the bundle.

2.Recording the endorsement made by the learned counsel appearing for the appellant, this second appeal is dismissed as infructuous. No costs.

pri

Sd/-

सत्यमेव जयते
Assistant Registrar(CCC)

//True Copy//

Sub Assistant Registrar

To

1.The Additional District Judge, Karaikal.

2.The Principal District Munsif, Karaikal.

Copy to:The Section Officer, VR Section,
High Court, Madras.

RJ(CO)

sm:1.2.2018

S.A.No.824 of 1997