

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 14.03.2018

CORAM:

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE M.DHANDAPANI

S.A. No.1623 of 1997

1.Ramu
2.Lakshmanan ..Appellants 1 & 2/Defendants 4 & 5
Vs.
1.Selvi @ Sellam ..1st Respondent/Plaintiff
2.Vaidyanathan ..Respondents 2 & 3/Respondents 1 & 3.
3.Kannan ..4th Respondent/Appellant
4.Ramalingam ..Respondents

Prayer:- The Second Appeal has been filed under Section 100 of C.P.C., against the judgment and decree of the Learned Subordinate Court, Mayiladuthurai dated 27.02.1997 and made in A.S.No.57 of 1996 confirming the judgment and decree of the Learned District Munsif Court, Sirkali dated 15.06.1995 and made in O.S.No.90 of 1988.

For Appellants : No Appearance

For Respondents : Not ready in notice Reg.R4
R1 & R3 - NDW
R2 - No Appearance

J U D G M E N T

When the second appeal was taken up for hearing on 08.03.2018, there was no representation for the appellants and hence, the matter was ordered to be posted under the caption 'for dismissal '.

2. Even though, the matter is listed today under the caption 'for dismissal', neither the appellants nor their counsel is present to prosecute the matter. Hence the second appeal is dismissed for non prosecution. No costs.

-s/d-

Assistant Registrar(CS-II)

True Copy

Sub-Assistant Registrar

To


1.The Subordinate Court
Mayiladuthurai

2.The District Munsif Court
Sirkali

3. The Record Keeper
VR Section, High Court, Madras.

S.A. No.1623 of 1997

KJ(CO)
SP(28/03/2018)



WEB COPY