

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 28.03.2018

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE K.RAVICHANDRABAABU

Writ Petition No.7239 of 2018

R.Elumalai

.. Petitioner

Vs.

1. The Inspector of Police  
Traffic Investigating Wing,  
J-3, Guindy Police Station  
Chennai.

2. The Licensing Authority cum  
Regional Transport Officer,  
Tindivanam,  
Villupuram District.

.. Respondents

Writ petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying for issuance of Writ of Mandamus, directing the 2nd respondent herein to return the original driving licence (D.L.No.TN32 Y 19930000415) to the petitioner forthwith.

For Petitioner : Mr.K.Hariharan

For Respondents : Mr.R.Govindasamy  
Special Government Pleader

O R D E R

Mr.R.Govindasamy, learned Special Government Pleader takes notice for the respondents. By consent of the parties, the main writ petition is taken up for final disposal at the admission stage itself.

2. The petitioner seeks for a Mandamus, directing the second respondent to return the petitioner's original driving license bearing D.L.No.TN32 Y 19930000415.

3. Heard both sides.

4. The petitioner is a driver in the Metropolitan Transport Corporation of Chennai. It is stated that the petitioner's driving licence was seized, in pursuant to an accident taken

place on 11.02.2018 and followed by the registration of FIR in Crime No.107 of 2018 under Sections 279 & 304(A) of IPC. Now, the petitioner seeks for return of the driving licence by contending that the second respondent is not entitled to seize and retain the driving licence, simply because a criminal case is filed against the petitioner and the same is pending.

5. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner invited the attention of this Court to the Division Bench decision of this Court reported in 2010 Writ L.R. 100 (P.Sethuram vs. The Licensing Authority, The Regional Transport Officer, The Regional Transport Office, Dindigul) and a single Judge decision made in W.P.No.16958/2013 dated 01.07.2013 reported in 2013 Writ L.R.843 (S.Duraivelu vs. The Regional Transport Officer, West Tambaram, Chennai & 2 others), wherein, this Court has considered a similar issue and found that even the suspension of the license on the ground that a criminal case is pending, is erroneous. I myself followed the above decisions in similar cases, wherein licence was suspended. Hence, I do not think that the second respondent is justified in retaining the driving license of the petitioner.

6. The learned counsel for the petitioner has given an undertaking before this Court that the petitioner is ready and willing to discharge his duties immediately, on receipt of the driving licence.

7. Accordingly, the writ petition is allowed and the respondents are directed to return the driving license of the petitioner immediately on receipt of a copy of this order. However, it shall not preclude the respondents from initiating any action, if any of the contingencies specified in Clauses (a) to (h) of Section 19(1) of the Motor Vehicles Act, arises later or if any of the Rules as prescribed by the Central Government in pursuance of Section 19(1)(f) of the Act, are violated. No costs.

सत्यमेव जयते

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar(CS III)

//True Copy//

Sub Assistant Registrar

vsi

WEB COPY

To


1. The Inspector of Police  
Traffic Investigating Wing,  
J-3, Guindy Police Station  
Chennai.
2. The Licensing Authority cum  
Regional Transport Officer,  
Tindivanam,  
Villupuram District.

+1 cc to Mr.K.Hariharan Advocate sr 23674

+1 cc to Govt Pleader high court madras sr 24297

W.P.Nos.7239 of 2018

aa02/04/2018



WEB COPY