

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 24.1.2018

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE HULUVADI G.RAMESH
AND

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE RMT.TEEKAA RAMAN

W.P.No.920 of 2018

B.Marghabandhu

Petitioner

Versus

1. Union of India rep. by the
Additional Central P.F. Commissioner (TN&KR)
Zonal Office,
Employees Provident Fund Organisation
37, Royapettah High Road, Chennai 600 014.

2. Sri P.U.Kulkarni
The Additional Central P.F. Commissioner (TN&KR)
Zonal Office,
Employees Provident Fund Organisation
37, Royapettah High Road, Chennai 600 014.

3. The Regional Provident Fund Commissioner-I,
Employees Provident Fund Organisation,
Regional Office, Lady Doak Road, Madurai.

4. Shri.Rabindra Samal
The Regional Provident Fund Commissioner-I,
Employees Provident Fund Organisation,
Bhavishya Nidhi Bhavan
Regional Office, Lady Doak College Road,
Madurai 625 002.

5. The Permanent Inquiry Officer (South Zone),
EPFO, 37, Royapettah High Road,
Chennai 600 014.

6. Shri.Raman Dhanasekar
Permanent Inquiry Officer (South Zone),
EPFO, 37 Royapettah High Road,
Chennai 600 014.

7. The Registrar,
Central Administrative Tribunal,
City Civil Court Complex,
Chennai 600 104.

Respondents

Prayer: Writ petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking issuance of a writ of mandamus to direct the 7th respondent herein namely the Registrar, Central Administrative Tribunal, City Civil Court Complex, Chennai 600 104 to dispose of the pending O.A.No.858 of 2016 on the file of the CAT, Chennai Bench within a time frame that may be stipulated by this court.

For petitioner : Mr.K.S.Govindaprasad

ORDER

(Order of the court was made by HULUVADI G.RAMESH,J.)

Heard the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner.

2. The writ petition has been filed by the petitioner seeking for a direction to the Central Administrative Tribunal for early disposal of the pending O.A. filed by him challenging the punishment of compulsory retirement inflicted on him.

3. It appears that the respondents had entered appearance and filed their reply statement. The grievance of the petitioner is that the punishment is the counter-blast of his Union activities and though he has a good case, since the Original Application filed by him is not yet taken up for consideration, he is in the lurch and is struggling for his livelihood.

4. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and the limited scope of the prayer in the present writ petition, it is disposed of with a direction to the Central Administrative Tribunal to consider the grievance of the petitioner and to dispose of the matter within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. No costs.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar (CS-vii)

//True Copy//

Sub Assistant Registrar

ssk.


To:

1. The Registrar,
Central Administrative Tribunal,
City Civil Court Complex,
Chennai 600 104.

+1cc to Mr.K.S.GOVINDA PRASAD, Advocate, S.R.No. 5321

W.P.No.920 of 2018

KS (CO)
TR(29/01/2018)



WEB COPY