

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 16.03.2018

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE M.S.RAMESH

Crl.O.P.No.8148 of 2018

B.Sivaram Prasad ... Petitioner
Vs.

- 1.The Superintendent of Police,
Krishnagiri District,
Krishnagiri.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
Land Grabbing Cell,
Krishnagiri District.
- 3.The Inspector of Police,
Denkanikottai Police Station,
Denkanikottai,
Krishnagiri District.
- 4.V.Nagaraj ... Respondents

PRAYER: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. praying to direct the third respondent to investigate and file final report on the online complaint made by the petitioner, vide NRM1807948 dated 27.01.2018 and consequently take action against the fourth respondent.

For Petitioner : Mr.K.Venkatesan

For Respondents : Mr.C.Raghavan
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

ORDER

This petition is filed seeking a direction to the third respondent police to register a case on the basis of the petitioner's complaint dated 27.01.2018.

2. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner as well as the learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) for the respondents.

3. The grievance of the petitioner is that inspite of a complaint given by him on 27.01.2018 to the third respondent, the same has been kept in abeyance without any action. It is well settled in the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in

Lalita Kumari Vs. Government of Uttar Pradesh and others [2013 (6) CTC 353], that registration of an FIR is mandatory under Section 154 of the Code of Criminal Procedure if an information furnished to the police officer disclose commission of a cognizable offence and in cases where the information does not disclose a cognizable offence, a preliminary enquiry has to be conducted.

4. The third respondent is not justified in having received the complaint and keeping it unattended without any further action. In view of the same, the petitioner has made out a case for this Court to invoke its inherent powers under Section 482 of the Criminal Procedure Code.

5. In the result, the petitioner is directed to give a copy of the complaint dated 27.01.2018 to the Station House Officer having territorial jurisdiction over the issue. On receipt of the said copy of the complaint, the concerned Station House Officer shall adhere to the following directions.

1) If the information received by the 3rd respondent discloses commission of a cognizable offence, then, the same shall be forthwith registered.

2) If an information received does not disclose a cognizable offence, the 3rd respondent shall conduct a preliminary inquiry to ascertain whether cognizable offence is disclosed therein or not and such inquiry shall be completed within a period of fifteen days from the date of information.

3) If the inquiry discloses the commission of a cognizable offence, the FIR must be registered, if not already registered or closed.

4) If the preliminary inquiry ends in closing the complaint, the closure report must be recorded along with the reasonings and a copy of the same shall be furnished to the complainant within one week.

5) All information relating to cognizable offences whether resulting in registration of FIR or leading an inquiry must be reflected in the general diary/station diary/daily diary of the 3rd respondent's police station.

6. In the result, the Criminal Original Petition is allowed with the above directions.

-sd/-

Assistant Registrar

True Copy

Sub-Assistant Registrar

dna/at

To

1.The Superintendent of Police,
Krishnagiri District,
Krishnagiri.

2.The Inspector of Police,
Land Grabbing Cell,
Krishnagiri District.


3.The Inspector of Police,
Denkanikottai Police Station,
Denkanikottai,
Krishnagiri District.

4.The Public Prosecutor,
High Court, Madras.

+1 C.C. to MR.K.VENKATESAN Advocate SR.NO. 20370

Cr1.O.P.No.8148 of 2018

KJ(CO)
VS 09.04.2018



WEB COPY