

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 17.12.2018

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE C.V.KARTHIKEYAN

S.A.No.288 of 1998

1.Dharmalingam (Died)
2.Sivaraman
3.Alamelu Ammal
4.D.Poongothai
5.Kalayarasi
6.J.Kalaiselvi
7.D.Subramanian
8.V.Parasakthi
9.V.Selvambigai
10.D.Tamilselvi

... Appellants/Plaintiffs

Versus

1.Mayavel
2.Natarajan
3.Kandasami
4.Selvaraj
5.Sendamiz vasuki
6.Anjalani
7.Ganapathy
8.Chellammal
9.Hariputhiran
10.Kalirathinam
11.Lakshmi
12.Paramasivam
13.Poomalai
14.Pattu
15.Sivamalai
16.Amirthammal
17.Manickkam
18.Kolanchinathan
19.Ramamurthi
20.Lakshmanan
21.Karuppusami
22.Nataraja Padayachi
23.Radhakrishnan
24.Murugesan
25. The District Collector,
South Arcot District,
Cuddalore.

.. Respondents/Defendants

PRAYER:Second Appeal has been filed under Section 100 of Civil Procedure Code, against the judgment and decree of the Sub Judge, Virudhachalam passed in A.S.No.39 of 1991 dated 30.07.1997, confirming the judgment and decree of the learned District Munsif, Virudhachalam in O.S.No.988 of 1994, dated 23.01.1991.

For Appellant :: No appearance
For Respondents ::No appearance

ORDER

There is no representation for the appellant. The plaintiffs in O.S.No.988 of 1994 are the appellants herein. The first appellant also died pending the Second Appeal.

2. O.S.No.988 of 1984 was dismissed by judgment dated 23.01.1991 by the learned District Munsif, Virudhachalam. The appeal filed in A.S.No.39 of 1991 was also dismissed by judgment dated 30.07.1997 by the learned Sub-Judge, Virudhachalam. Both the Courts below have consistently found that the property is Natham property. It is clear that the appellants are not interested in prosecuting the appeal.

3. Accordingly, this Second Appeal is dismissed for non-prosecution. No costs.

Sd/-d/-

Assistant Registrar(CS)

//True Copy//

Sub Assistant Registrar

To

1. The Sub Judge, Virudhachalam
2. The District Munsif, Virudhachalam

S.A.No.288 of 1998

A.SK(30/01/2019)