

—
IN THE COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH, NAGPUR
PUBLIC INTEREST LITIGATION NO.21/2018
(Karan s/o vijay Shaha vs. State of Maharashtra and others)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of
 Coram, appearances, Court's orders
 of directions and Registrar's orders

Court's or Judge's order

Mr. A.K.Waghmare, Adv. for petitioner
 Mr.S.Y.Deopujari, GP for respondents

CORAM : B.P. DHARMADHIKARI &
MRS. SWAPNA JOSHI, JJ.
DATED : 23rd February, 2018.

1. Heard.

2. Coercive loan recovery by the loan advancing companies under special schemes to women, self-help groups etc. is being questioned in this matter as PIL. Contention is, State Government itself on one occasion, appointed SIT which was to consist of superior officers but this announcement has not been given effect to. Contention is, Government is thus permitting the agents with creditors companies/ societies to use their muscle-power.

3. Learned GP appearing for respondents 1 to 4 submitted that cognizance cannot be taken in PIL.

4. The petitioner claims to be a social worker. It is apparent that coercive recovery shall be attempted only from persons who have taken loan. The persons, if aggrieved, can always approach the competent court including this Court for redressal of their grievance. There cannot be any PIL in such matter.

5. Hence, keeping contentions of petitioner open, we dispose of present proceedings.

JUDGE

JUDGE

sa have