

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH, NAGPUR.

MISC. CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 1285/2018
IN WRIT PETITION NO. 7900/2018.

Adnyani Karoji Chopkar and others.

-VERSUS-

The State of Maharashtra and others.

Office notes, Office Memoranda of
Coram, appearances, Court's orders
or directions and Registrar's orders.

Court's or Judge's Orders

Shri P.D. Sharma, Advocate for Applicants.
Mrs. M.S. Naik, A.G.P. for Non-applicant nos. 1 and 2.

CORAM : R.K. DESHPANDE &
VINAY JOSHI, JJ.

DATE : DECEMBER 07, 2018.

Heard.

2. A novel practice is developed by the lawyers to start studying the matters after the decision and then to claim rehearing under the garb of review application. The clients are expected to be vigilant of such practice while engaging a lawyer, because ultimately he is the sufferer.

3. This is one of the instance where we dictated the orders in open Court and after putting a

2

question – as to whether petitioners were the persons who were directly aggrieved, we proceeded to observe that we do not propose to go into the merits of the issue raised, because petitioners are not the persons aggrieved. Now also attempt to substantiate is unsuccessful.

4. Review Petition is, accordingly dismissed.
No costs.

JUDGE

JUDGE

Rgd.