

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH, NAGPUR.

CIVIL APPLN. (W) NO. 118 OF 2018

IN

WRIT PETN. NO. 284 OF 2016 (D)

Dhanraj Kachruji Vaidya

-vs-

State of Maharashtra, thr.its Secretary, Deptt.of Education and others

Office notes, Office Memoranda of
Coram, appearances, Court's orders
or directions and Registrar's orders.

Court's or Judge's Orders.

Mr.C.B.Dharmadhikari, counsel for the applicant-respondent No.4.
Mr. P.P.Thakre, counsel for the petitioner.
Mr. K.L.Dharmadhikari, AGP for the respondent-State.
Mr. Shaikh Majid, counsel for the respondent No.3.
Mr.N.S.Deshpande, counsel for the respondent No.5.

CORAM : SMT. VASANTI A NAIK &
M.G.GIRATKAR, JJ.

DATE : 02.02.2018.

The learned counsel for the non-applicant/original petitioner states that the Education Officer (Primary) is only directed to take a decision on the proposal for correction of the order of approval of the petitioner in Writ Petition No.284 of 2016. It is stated that the petitioner has not claimed any salary or arrears of salary and has merely sought for the correction.

In view of the aforesaid, the cause for filing the review application would not survive. The application is disposed of accordingly.

JUDGE

JUDGE