

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY**  
**NAGPUR BENCH, NAGPUR.**

**CP NO. 280/2018 IN PIL NO. 102 OF 2013 IN PIL NO. 11 OF 2016**

(Poonam Gaikwad vs. The Scheduled Tribe Certificate Scrutiny Committee, Gadchiroli thr. its  
Member Secretary & Ors.) WITH

**CP NO. 232/2018 IN PIL NO. 102 OF 2013 IN PIL NO. 11 OF 2016**

(Prabandha Nannaware vs. The Scheduled Tribe Certificate Scrutiny Committee, Gadchiroli thr.  
its Member Secretary & Ors.) WITH

**CP NO. 233/2018 IN PIL NO. 102 OF 2013 IN PIL NO. 11 OF 2016**

(Ku. Chaitali Chaukhe vs. The Scheduled Tribe Certificate Scrutiny Committee, Gadchiroli thr.  
its Member Secretary & Ors.) AND

**CP NO. 281/2018 IN PIL NO. 102 OF 2013 IN PIL NO. 11 OF 2016**

(Kisan Chaukhe vs. The Scheduled Tribe Certificate Scrutiny Committee, Gadchiroli thr. its  
Member Secretary & Ors.)

---

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
appearances, Court's orders or directions  
and Registrar's Orders.

Court's or Judge's orders.

---

**CORAM : B.P. DHARMADHIKARI &  
S.M. MODAK, JJ.  
OCTOBER 24, 2018.**

Shri N.R. Patil, learned AGP for respondent No. 1 submits that after this Court issued notice before admission in the matter, the Committee Members have reconsidered the cases and validities have been issued to the respective petitioners. The learned AGP, therefore, submits that in this situation, ignoring or condoning the lapse, Contempt Petitions be disposed of.

2. Shri S.P. Khare, learned counsel for the petitioners is strongly opposing disposal of Contempt Petitions. He submits that deliberately wrong orders are passed, though settled propositions are brought to the notice of the Committee Members and are known to them.

3. We have taken note of the contention of Shri Khare, learned counsel for the petitioners. We keep the same open. We also direct the State Government through Tribal Development Department, to circulate today's order to all Committee Members in the State of Maharashtra.

4. As the Committee Members have acted earlier in quasi judicial capacity and have rectified the error of their own, in present facts we are not inclined to keep Contempt Petitions pending. Contempt Petitions are accordingly disposed of. No order as to costs.

**JUDGE**

**JUDGE**

Deshmukh