

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH, NAGPUR.

C.A.O.NO. 1453/2017 IN M.C.A.ST.NO.21285/2017
IN W.P.NO.2352/2017.

Ramesh Ramdin Yadav, Ballarpur and others.

-VERSUS-

The Collector, Nagpur and others.

Office notes, Office Memoranda of
Coram, appearances, Court's orders
or directions and Registrar's orders.

Court's or Judge's Orders

CORAM : B. P. DHARMADHIKARI
AND A.D. UPADHYE, JJ.

DATE : MARCH 16, 2018.

Heard Shri P.S. Kshirsagar, learned
Counsel for the applicants and Ms. N.P. Mehta, learned
A.G.P. for Non-applicants.

2. By a reasoned order Writ Petition No.
2352/2017 has been disposed of. Now a document is
placed on record urging it to be a sanctioned map.
Said document at Annexure-2 (Page No.17 with
M.C.A.), does not reveal to us the necessary
endorsement and sanction. Learned Counsel for
applicants submit that it is sanctioned by the Tahsildar.

2

However, under which provision it is sanctioned, is again not clear.

3. The document which should have been filed along with the Writ petition is now tendered and justification of its belated production is of non availability. The document also does not show that it is a sanctioned plan. In this situation, no case for review and therefore, for condonation of delay is made out. Civil Application is, therefore, rejected. Consequently, Misc. Civil Application also stands rejected. No cost.

JUDGE**JUDGE**

Rgd.