

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH, NAGPUR.

CP NO. 268/2018 IN PIL NO. 102 OF 2013

(Sneha Kishor Dodke vs. The Scheduled Tribe Certificate Scrutiny Committee, Gadchiroli thr. its Member Secretary & Ors.) WITH

CP NO. 269/2018 IN PIL NO. 102 OF 2013

(Saurabh Subhash Nannaware vs. The Scheduled Tribe Certificate Scrutiny Committee, Gadchiroli thr. its Member Secretary & Ors.) WITH

CP NO. 270/2018 IN PIL NO. 102 OF 2013

(Saurabh Arun Jambhule vs. The Scheduled Tribe Certificate Scrutiny Committee, Gadchiroli thr. its Member Secretary & Ors.) WITH

CP NO. 271/2018 IN PIL NO. 102 OF 2013

(Perna Tukaram Ghodmare vs. The Scheduled Tribe Certificate Scrutiny Committee, Gadchiroli thr. its Member Secretary & Ors.) AND

CP NO. 272/2018 IN PIL NO. 102 OF 2013

(Sujata Suresh Mundare thr. Father Suresh Marotrao Mundare vs. The Scheduled Tribe Certificate Scrutiny Committee, Gadchiroli thr. its Member Secretary & Ors.)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
appearances, Court's orders or directions
and Registrar's Orders.

Court's or Judge's orders.

**CORAM : B.P. DHARMADHIKARI &
S.M. MODAK, JJ.
OCTOBER 23, 2018.**

Shri N.R. Patil, learned AGP for respondent No. 1 submits that after this Court issued notice before admission in the matter, the Committee Members have reconsidered the cases and validities have been issued to the respective petitioners. The learned AGP, therefore, submits that in this situation, ignoring or condoning the lapse, Contempt Petitions be disposed of.

2. Shri S.P. Khare, learned counsel for the petitioners is strongly opposing disposal of Contempt Petitions. He submits that deliberately wrong orders are

passed, though settled propositions are brought to the notice of the Committee Members and are known to them.

3. We have taken note of the contention of Shri Khare, learned counsel for the petitioners. We keep the same open. We also direct the State Government through Tribal Development Department, to circulate today's order to all Committee Members in the State of Maharashtra.

4. As the Committee Members have acted earlier in quasi judicial capacity and have rectified the error of their own, in present facts we are not inclined to keep Contempt Petitions pending. Contempt Petitions are accordingly disposed of. No order as to costs.

JUDGE

JUDGE

*GS.