

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH : NAGPUR.

C.A.O. 1734 of 2017

in

M.C.A. (St.) No.19943/2017

in

F.A. St. No. 12459 of 2016 (R.C. No.738/16)

**(Executive Engineer Lower Pus Project, Pusad .vs. Ganesh Pundlik Dive and
others.)**

 Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
 appearances, Court's orders or directions Court's or Judge's orders.
 and Registrar's orders

Mr. Annop Parihar, Advocate for Appellant.
 Mr. M.A. Kadu, AGP for Respondent Nos.1 & 2.

CORAM : Manish Pitale, J.
DATED : January 10, 2018.

There are two applications filed on behalf of the applicant/appellant, one for application for restoration of the appeal which was dismissed due to non-removal of office objection and the other application for condonation of delay of 356 days in filing the application for restoration. For the reasons stated in the application for condonation of delay, the delay of 356 days is condoned. I have perused the contents of the application for restoration of appeal. The same is allowed and the appeal is restored subject to payment of costs of Rs.2000/- (Rs. Two Thousand) in favour of the High Court Legal Aid Sub Committee, Nagpur, within a period of two weeks from today. The counsel for the appellant also undertakes to remove the office objection within two weeks from today.

JUDGE