

-
IN THE COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH, NAGPUR
CIVIL APPLICATION (W) NO.1576/2018

IN
WRIT PETITION NO. 5681/2017
 (Ashish Ramdasrao Thakre vs. Education Officer (Sec) Z.P.Amravati and
 others)

.....
 Office Notes, Office Memoranda of
 Coram, appearances, Court's orders
 of directions and Registrar's orders

Court's or Judge's order

.....
 Mr. Apurva De, Advocate for petitioner/appliant
 Mr. S.J. Kadu, Assistant Government Pleader for respondents 1 to 3
 Mrs.Radhika Bajaj, Adv. for respondent nos.4 and 5

CORAM : P.N. DESHMUKH &
MRS. SWAPNA JOSHI, JJ.
DATED : 24th August, 2018.

Heard learned counsel for the respective parties.

This application is taken out for speaking to the minutes of order dated 14th March, 2018. The learned counsel for the applicant/petitioner points out that in the order, a reference is made to respondent no.1 to decide the proposal for grant of approval to the appointment of the petitioner as an Assistant Teacher. However it should be a direction to respondent no.2 who is the competent authority to decide the same.

Considering the nature of submissions advanced by the learned counsel for the petitioner, we find in the order dated 14th March 2018 the respondent no.1 came to be inadvertently mentioned in both the paragraphs of the order. In that view of the matter, the Registry of this Court to effect correction substituting the respondent no.1 with "respondent no.2" mentioned in both the paragraphs of the above order.

The correction be effected on the original order as well as on the order uploaded on the server.

The Civil Application is disposed of accordingly.

JUDGE

JUDGE

sahare