

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH AT NAGPUR

WRIT PETITION NO.4652/2017

Sau. Snehal Sunil Dahikar

...Versus...

The State Election Commission, Through its Chief Electoral Officer, State of
Maharashtra, Mumbai and others

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
appearances, Court's orders of directions
and Registrar's orders

Court's or Judge's orders

Shri Anand Parchure, Counsel for petitioner
Shri J.B. Kasat, Counsel respondent no.1 & 3
Ms Neerja Chaubey, Counsel for respondent no.2
Shri A.M. Ghare, Counsel for respondent no.4

CORAM : R.K. DESHPANDE AND
ARUN D. UPADHYE, JJ.

DATE : 28/08/2018

By passage of time the writ petition has become infructuous. The petitioner has a remedy of challenging the election of the respondent no.4 by way of filing election petition. The disqualification is sought on the ground that the respondent no.4 was in arrears of taxes and did not produce the caste validity certificate.

The petitioner is at liberty to approach the appropriate authority seeking the disqualification of the respondent no.4 on any such ground, if it is permissible in law.

The writ petition is dismissed as infructuous. No costs.

(Arun D. Upadhye, J.)

(R.K. Deshpande, J.)