

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH : NAGPUR

MISC. CIVIL APPLICATION NO.850 OF 2017

IN

WRIT PETITION NO. 5829 OF 2016 (D)

(DR. PANJABRAO DESHMUKH MEMORIAL MEDICAL COLLEGE...VS.. RAJENDRA VITTHALRAO
KUCHE)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
appearances, Court's orders of directions
and Registrar's orders

Court's or Judge's orders

Shri S.S.Patil, Advocate for Petitioner.

CORAM : Z.A.HAQ, J.

DATED : JANUARY 09, 2018.

Heard.

The applicant/ original respondent has sought review of the judgment passed by this Court on 14th June, 2017. The submission on behalf of the original respondent is that the original petitioners are protracting the matter before the Labour Court and therefore, while disposing the writ petition, by the judgment of this review, this Court should have directed the Labour Court to dispose the matter within stipulated time. It is submitted that this Court has failed to exercise jurisdiction vested in it.

The argument made on behalf of the original respondent for seeking review of the judgment is fallacious and misconceived. It cannot be said that failure on the part of the Court to give directions to the subordinate Court or Authority to dispose the matter within stipulated time can be said to be an error apparent on the face of the record which necessitates exercise of review jurisdiction.

The Miscellaneous Civil Application is **dismissed**.
In the circumstances, the parties to bear their own costs.

JUDGE

RRaut..