

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH, NAGPUR

Civil Application [CAW] No.1568 of 2018
in
Writ Petition No.7414 of 2017

Ushakant Rajlingam Yedalwar
vs.
Madankumar Laxmanrao Songle and others

*Office notes, Office Memoranda of
Coram, appearances, Court's orders
or directions and Registrar's orders.*

Court's or Judge's Orders

Shri M.S. Sharma, Advocate for the Applicant/Petitioner.

CORAM : S.B. SHUKRE, J.
DATE : 11th JULY, 2018.

Heard.

For the reasons stated in the application, the application is granted in terms of it's prayer clause provided that prayer clause is clear and not vague.

The application is disposed of.

Civil Application [CAW] No.1569/2018 :

Heard.

For the reasons stated in the application, the application is allowed in terms of it's prayer clause.

The application is disposed of.

Writ Petition No.7414/2017:

The learned Counsel for the petitioner is insisting upon the hearing of this petition at the admission stage, because respondent No.1 has been

served and he is not appearing before this Court and the other respondents, according to him, are not the contesting respondents.

The learned Counsel for the petitioner, however, is also not ready to delete the remaining respondents. It is also seen that no quicker steps have been taken by the petitioner for effecting the service upon the unserved respondents. In these circumstances, this ground does not hold any water and prayer is rejected.

Put up after four weeks.

**sandesh*

JUDGE