

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH : NAGPUR

C.A.O. NO.1051/2018
IN
C.A.F. NO.1232/2016
IN
FIRST APPEAL NO.1084/2015

The State of Maharashtra, through Collector, Yavatmal and others
..Vs..
Sau. Latabai W/o Narendra Bhagat

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
appearances, Court's orders of directions
and Registrar's orders

Court's or Judge's orders

Ms. H.N. Prabhu, A.G.P. for appellants.
Shri J.A. Malnas, Advocate for applicant / respondent.

CORAM : Z.A. HAQ, J.
DATE : 29.6.2018.

By this application, the respondent seeks modification of the order dated 16th September, 2016. The learned Advocate for the respondent has pointed out that in paragraph No.3 of the order instead of “respondent”, it is wrongly mentioned as “appellant”. The learned A.G.P. does not dispute that there is an apparent inadvertent error.

Hence, the following order:

In second line of 3rd paragraph of the order passed on 16th September, 2016 the term “appellant” be deleted and substituted by “respondent” so that order will read to mean that the respondent is permitted to withdraw the amount of Rs.20,00,000/- (Rs. Twenty Lakhs) on furnishing solvent surety / security as per the

order passed on 16th September, 2016.

The civil application is **allowed** accordingly.

In the circumstances, the parties to bear their own costs.

JUDGE

Tambaskar.