

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH, NAGPUR

Criminal Application [APPA] No.481 of 2017
in
Criminal Appeal No.347 of 2015

Nattu s/o Madhavrao Meshram

vs.

Rajesh Balkrishna Borkar and another

=====

*Office notes, Office Memoranda of
Coram, appearances, Court's orders
or directions and Registrar's orders.*

=====

Court's or Judge's Orders

*Shri Y.B. Mandpe, Advocate for the Applicant-Appellant.
Shri C.H. Jaltare, Advocate for Respondent No.1.
Shri C.A. Lokhande, A.P.P. for Respondent No.2.*

CORAM : S.B. SHUKRE, J.
DATE : 24th FEBRUARY, 2018.

Heard the learned Counsel for the appellant, the learned Counsel for respondent no.1 and the learned A.P.P. for respondent no.2/State.

On going through the impugned judgment and order and the evidence available on record, *prima facie*, I find that inconsistency and discrepancy in the prosecution witnesses forming the basis of acquittal of the accused, in many ways, cannot be seen. This is a fit case for grant of leave to file appeal and also admitting the appeal.

Leave to file appeal is granted. The application is allowed and disposed of.

Criminal Appeal No.347/2015 :

Heard.

Admit.

Appeal be listed for final disposal as per it's turn.

JUDGE