

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH : NAGPUR

CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 123 OF 2017

IN

WRIT PETITION NO. 2038 OF 2016 (P)

(VIJAYKUMAR TARACHAND AGRAWAL...VS.. ASHOK NARAYANLAL AGRAWAL & ANR.)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
appearances, Court's orders of directions
and Registrar's orders

Court's or Judge's orders

Shri S.A.Mohta, Advocate for Petitioner.
Shri Amit Bhate, Advocate for Respondent No.1.

CORAM : Z.A.HAQ, J.

DATED : JANUARY 10, 2018.

The learned advocate for the petitioner seeks
permission to delete name of the respondent No.2.

Permission granted.

Heard.

Considering the explanation given by the
respondent No.1 in the affidavit sworn by him on 14th
December, 2017 and accepting the undertaking given by the
respondent No.1 that he will co-operate in disposal of the civil
suit as per the directions given by this Court, I do not think it
appropriate to initiate the proceedings under the Contempt of
Courts Act, 1971 against the respondent.

The notice issued to the respondent No.1 is
discharged. The contempt petition is **disposed**. In the
circumstances, the parties to bear their own costs.

JUDGE