

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH AT NAGPUR

WRIT PETITION NO.3110 OF 2018

(SURESH ESAN GIRADKAR...VS..STATE OF MAH. THR. DIVL. COMMISSIONER & OTH.)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
appearances, Court's orders of directions
and Registrar's orders

Court's or Judge's orders

Shri Anirudh Ananthakrishnan, Adv.h/f. Shri R.R.Vyas, Adv. for Petitioner.
Shri S.Y.Deopujari, G.P. for Respondent Nos.1 and 2.

CORAM : B.P.DHARMADHIKARI AND
Z.A.HAQ, JJ.

DATED : JUNE 08, 2018.

1. Heard.
2. The learned Government Pleader has pointed out that no representation has been made and as such no mandamus can be issued.
3. The counsel for the petitioner submits that in the body of petition a representation made on 10/11/2017 has been pointed out. We find that the said representation is not by the petitioner. Not only this, but the report in response to which action is sought has come thereafter i.e. on 2nd January, 2018.
4. We, therefore, grant the petitioner leave to make appropriate representation. If such representation is made within two weeks from today, the respondent No.2 shall look into it as per law, within next six weeks.
5. We **dispose of** the writ petition accordingly. No costs.

(Z.A.Haq, J)

(B.P.Dharmadhikari,J)