

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH, NAGPUR.

M.C.A.NO. 548/2018 IN W.P.NO. 6175/2016.

Anand Narayanrao Jammu

-VERSUS-

The State of Maharashtra and others.

Office notes, Office Memoranda of
Coram, appearances, Court's orders
or directions and Registrar's orders.

Court's or Judge's Orders

CORAM : B. P. DHARMADHIKARI
AND A.D. UPADHYE, JJ.

DATE : JUNE 15, 2018.

Heard Shri A.K. Waghmare, learned Counsel for the applicant/petitioner and Ms. N.P. Mehta and Ms. H.N. Prabhu, learned A.G.Ps. for Non-applicant nos.1 and 2/respondent nos.1 and 2.

2. Contention is, after Ordinance No.IX of 2016 outlived its life, Bill No. XXVI of 2016, earlier cleared by the Legislative Assembly only, in relation thereto also expired. The expired bill therefore, could not have been processed further in Winter Session.

3. We find the arguments unsustainable. In present facts, there is no relation between the

2

Ordinance and Bill. Hence, Misc. Civil Application is rejected. No costs.

JUDGE

JUDGE

Rgd.