

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH : NAGPUR

WRIT PETITION NO.2907/2018

Sindhu Rajesh Sangale @ Sindhu Digambar Khedkar

..Vs..

State of Maharashtra and others

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
appearances, Court's orders of directions
and Registrar's orders

Court's or Judge's orders

Shri S.D. Chande, Advocate for petitioner.
Shri N.R. Patil, A.G.P. for respondent Nos.1 to 3.

CORAM : B.P. DHARMADHIKARI AND Z.A.HAQ, JJ.

DATED : 25.6.2018.

1. Petitioner, who entered service as Koli Mahadev scheduled tribe, later on gave up her tribe claim. Scrutiny Committee in its order dated 11th February, 2016 has expressly mentioned that on 24.12.2014 petitioner gave a letter disclosing that she does not have evidence of Koli Mahadev scheduled tribe and she was not availing any benefit of scheduled tribe. She requested Scrutiny Committee to accept the report of vigilance cell and to invalidate her caste claim. This letter is not produced before us by petitioner.

2. Contention is, petitioner was later on absorbed in employment as special backward classes (SBC) candidate.

3. Absorption as SBC does not validate her entry into employment as scheduled tribe.

4. In any case, when she has gone to Labour

Court and thereafter Industrial Court and lastly, come to this Court, she ought to have produced letter dated 24.12.2014 to substantiate her contentions. That has not been done.

5. We, therefore, find this petition abuse of process of law. It is, accordingly, **dismissed**. No costs.

6. Interim order, if any, stands vacated.

JUDGE

JUDGE

Tambaskar.