

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH AT NAGPUR

WRIT PETITION NO.4534 OF 2016

[Vidharbha Shramik Mathadi Sanghatana and one .vs. The State of Maharashtra]

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
appearances, Court's orders of directions
and Registrar's orders

Court's or Judge's orders

Shri S.D. Shukla, Advocate for petitioners,
Mrs. A.R. Kulkarni, AGP for respondent no.1,
Shri M.R. Pillai, Advocate for respondent no.2,
Shri R.N. Sen, Advocate with Adv. Komal Bajaj for respondent no.3.

CORAM : B.P. DHARMADHIKARI AND
MRS. SWAPNA JOSHI, JJ.

DATED : FEBRUARY 23, 2018.

1. Heard for sometime.
2. Principles to be followed for wage determination are well settled. Without pointing out those principles and only because non-mathadi (unregistered) workers are being paid more on contract basis by some other employer, exercise of wage determination undertaken by respondent no.2-Board has been questioned.
3. As we find the challenge erroneous and misconceived, without observing anything more and with liberty to petitioners to make proper grievance after collecting necessary data, we dispose of present writ petition. No costs.

JUDGE

JUDGE