

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH : NAGPUR

WRIT PETITION NO. 2874/2016

Smt. Suman Sahadeo Kadoo

..VS..

State of Maharashtra & ors.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
appearances, Court's orders of directions
and Registrar's orders

Court's or Judge's orders

Shri R.M. Pandey, Adv h/f Shri P.S. Tidke, Adv for the petitioner
Ms. K.R. Deshpande, AGP for the respondent no. 1
Shri P.P. Kothari, Advocate for the respondent no. 2
Shri M.R. Patil, Advocate for the respondent nos. 3, 4 and 5

CORAM : B.P. DHARMADHIKARI AND
Z.A.HAQ, JJ.

DATED : 26/06/2018

1] Advocate Tidke for petitioner is stated to be not available. Respective advocates for respondents point out that orders of *status quo* are operating.

2] Advocate Kothari on behalf of respondent no. 2 points out availability of alternate remedy under Section 70 of the Maharashtra Housing and Area Development Act, 1976.

3] After hearing Advocates Shri Kothari, Shri Patil and learned AGP, we find that interim orders are operating since 20/05/2016.

4] We therefore continue those interim orders for a period of six weeks more. Petitioner to file appeal before Competent Authority in the meanwhile and obtain appropriate orders from the Appellate authority.

5] Continuation of interim orders by this Court shall not influence Appellate authority in any manner.

6] Interim orders passed by us shall cease to operate automatically after six weeks.

7] With this observation and liberty, we dispose of writ petition. No costs.

JUDGE

JUDGE

Ansari