

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH AT NAGPUR

CRIMINAL WRIT PETITION NO.393/2018
Raju @ Mukesh Punamchand Dangre Patel

...Versus...

State of Maharashtra, through Deputy Inspector General of Prison, Eastern
Region, Nagpur and another

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
appearances, Court's orders of directions
and Registrar's orders

Court's or Judge's orders

Ms S.D. Wankhede, Counsel for petitioner
Mrs. N.R. Tripathi, APP for respondents

CORAM : R.K. DESHPANDE AND
ARUN D. UPADHYE, JJ.

DATE : 26/07/2018

There is an appeal under Rule 2 (2) of the Maharashtra Prisons (Mumbai Furlough and Parole) (Amendment) Rules, 2018 published on 16/4/2018 before the Additional Director General of Prisons and Inspector General of Prison and Correctional Services.

We think that in the present case, the remedy of appeal has to be exhausted first. The period spent by the petitioner in prosecuting the present writ petition shall be ignored while counting the period of limitation. The questions are left open to be adjudicated and decided in appeal.

With these observations, the criminal writ petition is dismissed.

(Arun D. Upadhye, J.)

(R.K. Deshpande, J.)