

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH, NAGPUR

WRIT PETITION NO.1735 OF 2004

(Pramod s/o Ramrao Nagpure Vs. Central Board of Secondary Education thr. its
Secretary, New Delhi and others)

WRIT PETITION NO.2967 OF 2004

(Miss Jyotsna d/o Diwakar Manapure Vs. Central Board of Secondary Education thr.
its Secretary, New Delhi and others)

*Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
appearances, Court's orders of directions
and Registrar's Orders.*

Court's or Judge's orders.

Shri Ansari, Advocate holding for Shri H.D. Dangre, Advocate for Petitioner.
Mrs. A.R. Kulkarni, AGP for Respondent No.2.
Shri N.H. Verma, Advocate for Respondent Nos.3 & 4.

CORAM: B.P. DHARMADHIKARI AND
ARUN D. UPADHYE, JJ.

DATE: 8th MARCH, 2018.

1] Shri Ansari, Advocate holding for Shri Harish Dangre, the learned counsel in both matters informs that his efforts to obtain instructions from petitioners have failed. He is seeking further adjournment.

2] Shri Verma, the learned counsel appearing for respondent nos.3 and 4 submits that both petitioners have left employment way back in 2012 and 2007 respectively. According to him, all their claims have been settled when he left the employment. Mrs. A.R. Kulkarni, learned A.G.P. appears for respondent no.2.

3] In this situation, we find that due to passage of time and leaving of employment, petitioners are not interested in prosecuting the matters. Accordingly, we

dismiss writ petition for want of prosecution.

Rule discharged. No costs.

JUDGE

JUDGE

NSN