

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH, NAGPUR

Criminal Application [BA] No.346 of 2018

Rakesh s/o Shyam Chintalwar

vs.

State of Maharashtra, through P.S.O. Local Crime Branch, Bhandara

*Office notes, Office Memoranda of
 Coram, appearances, Court's orders
 or directions and Registrar's orders.*

Court's or Judge's Orders

*Shri Sarang Kotwal, Advocate for the Applicant.
 Shri P.S. Tembhare, A.P.P. for the Non-Applicant/State.*

CORAM : S.B. SHUKRE, J.
DATE : 24th APRIL, 2018.

Reply of the prosecution is taken on record.

Heard the learned Counsel for the applicant
 and the learned A.P.P. for the State.

Perused the reply of the prosecution and the
 case diary. Upon going through the case-diary, I find
 that there are witnesses, who are saying that this
 applicant manipulated several things and persons
 inducing the witnesses, to submit fake bills, although
 there was no supply of any material to the Office,
 where this applicant was working and then made his
 higher authorities sanction those bills. These witnesses
 have also stated that after receipt of the money of the
 fake bills by those persons, this applicant asked them
 to return the same and it was indeed returned to him
 by making R.T.G.S. transfers to his son's account.

Prima facie, involvement of this applicant is seen in the offences registered against him and one of which is punishable under Section 409 of the Indian Penal Code. The offences are of serious nature. The charge-sheet has not been filed. Therefore, this is not a fit case for grant of bail. The application stands rejected.

Liberty to file fresh bail application before the trial Court after the charge-sheet is filed is granted to the applicant.

**sandesh*

JUDGE