

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH : NAGPUR

Criminal Application (BA) No. 226 of 2018

Nana @ Dnyaneshwar s/o Deorao Dandaje V/s State of Maharashtra, through PSO,
PS Ram Nagar, Dist. Wardha

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
appearances, Court's orders of directions
and Registrar's orders

Court's or Judge's orders

Shri C.R. Thakur, Advocate for applicant.
Ms. R.V. Kalia, APP for State.

CORAM : S. B. SHUKRE, J

DATE : 27.4.2018.

Heard. Perused the charge-sheet and reply of the
prosecution.

I have also gone through the order passed on
28-11-2017 in Bail Application No. 1046 of 2017.

Upon consideration of the facts and circumstances
of the case, I find that it is difficult to distinguish the case of
this applicant from that of co-accused Akash Prabhakar
Wadhve who has been granted regular bail by this Court on
28-11-2017. If there are discrepancies in the version of the
complainant and the other eye witnesses so far as the case of
prosecution case against co-accused Akash is concerned, same
discrepancies would also be there in the case of the
prosecution as against this applicant. Therefore, on the
ground of parity, the applicant would be entitled to be
released on bail.

Application is allowed and it is directed that the

applicant be released on bail on his furnishing P.R. Bond in the sum of Rs. 30,000/- together with one solvent surety in like sum, on the following conditions:

[i] The applicant shall cooperate with the police in the investigation.

[ii] Applicant shall regularly attend the trial Court on all dates of hearing as may be fixed by the trial Court.

[iii] Applicant shall cooperate with the trial Court in expeditious disposal of the trial.

[iv] The applicant shall not tamper with the prosecution witnesses in any manner.

JUDGE