

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH : NAGPUR

WRIT PETITION NO.907/2018

Pradeep S/o Sunderlal Verma
..Vs..
Kamlabai Wd/o Bisenji Khanorkar

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
appearances, Court's orders of directions
and Registrar's orders

Court's or Judge's orders

CORAM : Z.A. HAQ, J.
DATE : 24.2.2018.

Shri S.V. Purohit, learned Advocate, who represents the petitioner, has submitted that the papers are taken by the petitioner. The learned Advocate submits that the acknowledgment signed by the petitioner showing that the papers are taken away will be filed alongwith pursis, on record.

Shri N.A. Vyawahare, Advocate who appears for respondents opposes deferring of hearing.

Heard Shri N.A. Vyawahare, Advocate for the respondents / decree holders.

The petitioner / judgment debtor has filed this petition to challenge the judgment passed by the District Court by which decree is granted in favour of the respondents for possession of the tenanted premises. The learned District Judge has granted decree in favour the respondents on the ground that the tenanted premises are required by the respondents for their *bona*

fide occupation.

After assessing the evidence on record the learned District Judge has recorded the conclusions in paragraph Nos.21 and 22 of the judgment. On going through the documents placed on record of the writ petition with the assistance of the learned Advocate for the respondents, I find that the conclusions of the learned District Judge are proper and cannot be faulted with. I see no reason to interfere with the impugned judgment.

The writ petition is **dismissed**. In the circumstances, the parties to bear their own costs.

JUDGE

Tambaskar.