

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION**

CENTRAL EXCISE APPEAL NO. 96 OF 2016

Commissioner of Central Excise	}	
and Service Tax	}	Appellant
versus		
Macleods Pharmaceuticals	}	
Limited (Unit ii)	}	Respondent

**WITH
CENTRAL EXCISE APPEAL NO. 124 OF 2016**

Commissioner of Central Excise	}	
and Service Tax	}	Appellant
versus		
Macleods Pharmaceuticals	}	
Limited (Unit iii)	}	Respondent

Mr. Swapnil Bangur for the Appellant.

Mr. M. H. Patil i/b. Ms. Mansi Patil for the respondents.

**CORAM :- S. C. DHARMADHIKARI &
SMT. BHARATI H. DANGRE, JJ.**

DATE :- JANUARY 10, 2018

P.C. :-

1. The Central Excise Appeal No. 96 of 2016 was to be heard along with Central Excise Appeal No. 124 of 2016, as both involve the same facts and same proposed questions of law and termed as substantial questions of law by the Revenue. Central Excise Appeal No. 124 of 2016 was extensively heard by a Division Bench presided over by Hon'ble Mr. Justice M. S. Sanklecha and

an order was passed on 14th December, 2017 dismissing it. On that day, Central Excise Appeal No. 96 of 2016 was not on board. Today, both are on board, one is wrongly and one correctly. It is conceded by both sides that the order dated 14th December, 2017 would decide the fate of Central Excise Appeal No. 96 of 2016. That is accordingly decided it is also dismissed, but with no order as to costs.

(SMT. BHARATI H. DANGRE, J.)

(S.C.DHARMADHIKARI, J.)