IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

INCOME TAX APPEAL NO. 672 OF 2016

Pr. Commissioner of Income Tax-5	}	**Appellant**
versus		
M/s. Renfro India Pvt. Ltd.	}	**Respondent**

Ms. Padma Divakar for the appellant.

Ms. Neha Anchalia I/b. M/s. Argud Partners for the respondent.

CORAM :- S. C. DHARMADHIKARI &
B. P. COLABAWALLA, JJ.

DATE :- OCTOBER 10, 2018

P.C. :-

1. Ms. Divakar, on instructions, states that the Revenue may be allowed to withdraw this appeal. It is withdrawn in the light of the circular issued by the Revenue which determines the limit of monetary sums or the sum above which alone the appeals of the Revenue are to be pressed. Every appeal involving a sum mentioned below this limit would not be pressed and on the Revenue's request, the court may dismiss it as withdrawn.

2. We have found that the Revenue is withdrawing the appeal on its own. It is for the Revenue to decide and withdraw the appeals based on its circular, but we clarify that we have

expressed no opinion on the questions of law nor on the legality and validity of the circular.

3. By clarifying as above, the appeal is allowed to be withdrawn and stands disposed of as such.

Jayant Vishwanath Salunke

Digitally signed by Jayant Vishwanath Salunke
Date: 2018.10.11 18:09:34 +0530

(B. P. COLABAWALLA, J.) **(S.C.DHARMADHIKARI, J.)**