

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ADMIRALTY AND VICE-ADMIRALTY JURISDICTION**

**CHAMBER SUMMONS NO. 6 OF 2018
IN
ADMIRALTY SUIT NO. 18 OF 2017**

The Board of Trustees of the
Port of Mumbai

..Applicant

In the matter between :

Melayi Kandi Jayarajan

.. Plaintiff

Vs.

AHTV Sangita & Anr.

.. Defendants

And

Official Liquidator of
GOL Offshore Ltd.

.. Respondent

Mr.Ajai Fernandes i/b Motiwalla and Co. for applicant/intervener.

Ms. Usha Singh i/b Vyas and Bhalwal for plaintiff.

Mr.Mahendhar Aithe, company prosecutor for defendant no.1 (in liquidation).

**CORAM : K.R.SHRIRAM, J.
DATE : 21ST FEBRUARY 2018**

P.C.

1 Ms.Singh appearing for plaintiff states that they have no objection if the applicant is allowed to intervene in the suit. The official liquidator though served, did not remain present on 10th January 2018 and even today, no reply opposing the application is filed. Therefore, I will proceed on the basis that the official liquidator has no objection.

2 In the circumstances, the chamber summons is allowed in terms of prayer clauses (a) and (b).

So far as prayer clauses (c), (d, (e) and (f) are concerned, Mr. Fernandes states leave be granted to take out fresh application. Leave granted.

3 Chamber summons accordingly disposed.

4 Plaintiff to amend the plaint and serve a copy of the amended plaint upon defendants including newly added defendant no.3 within three weeks from today. Within two weeks of receiving amended plaint, defendants to file written statement/additional written statement and serve a copy thereof upon plaintiff.

5 The suit be listed on 28th March 2018 for directions.

(K.R. SHRIRAM, J.)