

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION**

**REVIEW PETITION (L) NO.68 OF 2017
IN
WRIT PETITION NO.1992 OF 2015**

The Education Inspector and another	..Petitioners
Versus	
Vandana M. Yadav and another	..Respondents

**AND
NOTICE OF MOTION NO.619 OF 2017
IN
REVIEW PETITION (L) NO.68 OF 2017
IN
WRIT PETITION NO.1992 OF 2015**

The Education Inspector and another	..Applicants
Versus	
Vandana M. Yadav and another	..Respondents

**WITH
REVIEW PETITION (L) NO.69 OF 2017
IN
WRIT PETITION NO.2649 OF 2015**

The Education Inspector and another	..Petitioners
Versus	
Jitendra Kumar Mavala Yadav and another	..Respondents

**AND
NOTICE OF MOTION NO.618 OF 2017
IN
REVIEW PETITION (L) NO.69 OF 2017
IN
WRIT PETITION NO.2649 OF 2015**

The Education Inspector and another	..Petitioners
Versus	
Yadav Alka Suryabhan and another	..Respondents

The Education Inspector and another	..Applicants
Versus	
Yadav Alka Suryabhan and another	..Respondents

**CORAM : B. R. GAVAI &
RIYAZ I. CHAGLA, JJ.
DATE : 4th DECEMBER, 2018**

1] For the reasons stated in affidavit-in-support, Notices of Motion are allowed. Delay is condoned.

2] By way of these three Review Petitions, the Review Petitioners are seeking to recall the order dated 7th July 2017 passed by this Court.

2] The Review Petitions are vehemently opposed by the learned counsel for the Respondents/Org. Petitioners. However, perusal of the averments made in the Review Petitions on an affidavit would reveal that the Petitioners have specifically stated that though instructions were given to the learned AGP appearing in the matter, he failed to point out certain factual aspects to the notice of this Court and also did not file an affidavit on behalf of the Petitioners.

3] Taking into consideration the averments made in the Review Petitions, we are inclined to allow the Review Petitions. The order dated 7th July 2017 is recalled.

4] The Writ Petitions are restored to file.

[RIYAZ I. CHAGLA, J.]

[B. R. GAVAI, J.]