IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

INCOME TAX APPEAL NO.439 OF 2009

The Commissioner of Income Tax … Appellant
V/s.
M/s Systematic Exports … Respondent

WITH
INCOME TAX APPEAL NO.185 OF 2012
WITH
INCOME TAX APPEAL NO.186 OF 2012
WITH
INCOME TAX APPEAL NO.1069 OF 2013
WITH
INCOME TAX APPEAL NO.1144 OF 2013

The Commissioner of Income Tax-III … Appellant
V/s.
M/s Tushar Developers … Respondent

WITH
INCOME TAX APPEAL NO.692 OF 2013

The Commissioner of Income Tax-I … Appellant
V/s.
Swapnil Distributors Pvt. Ltd. … Respondent

WITH
INCOME TAX APPEAL NO.494 OF 2015

The Principal Commissioner of Income Tax-3 … Appellant
V/s.
Shri Shankar Krishna Panskar … Respondent

WITH
INCOME TAX APPEAL NO.697 OF 2017

Pr. Commissioner of Income Tax-25 … Appellant
V/s.

Ramesh Jhaveri (HUF) … Respondent

WITH
INCOME TAX APPEAL NO.913 OF 2017

Pr. Commissioner of Income Tax-25 … Appellant
V/s.
Nilesh R. Jhaveri (HUF) … Respondent

Mr.Sham Walve for the Appellants in all appeals.
Mr.Sham Walve with Ms.Padma Divakar for the Appellants in ITXA Nos.1069 of 2013 and 1144 of 2013.
Mr.S.J.Mehta with Ms.A.Vissanji for the Respondent in ITXA No.439 of 2009.
Mr.Ruturaj Gurjar with Mr.Mihir Naniwadekar for the Respondent in ITXA No.494 of 2015.

CORAM : AKIL KURESHI AND
M.S.SANKLECHA, JJ.
DATE : DECEMBER 17, 2018.

P.C.:-

1. These Appeals under Section 260-A of the Income Tax Act, 1961 (the Act) challenge the orders passed by the Income Tax Appellate Tribunal (the Tribunal).

2. Mr.Sham Walve, learned Counsel appearing for the Revenue states that they have been instructed not to press these appeals. This for the reason that the tax effect in each of these appeals is less than Rs.50 lakhs as provided in CBDT Circular No.3 of 2018

dated 11^{th} July, 2018.

3. Accordingly, all these Appeals are dismissed as not pressed.

4. Refund of Court Fees, as per Rules.

(M.S.SANKLECHA,J.) **(AKIL KURESHI,J.)**

….