

Sharayu Khot.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION**

**NOTICE OF MOTION NO. 923 OF 2018
IN
CENTRAL EXCISE APPEAL (L) NO. 35 OF 2017**

**The Commissioner of Central Tax & ...Applicant/
Central Excise, Raigad Commissionerate Appellant**

Versus

Reliance Industries Ltd. ...Respondent

Mr. J.B. Mishra, for the Applicant/Appellant.

Ms. Shilpa Balani, for the Respondent.

**CORAM : M.S. SANKLECHA &
RIYAZ I. CHAGLA, JJ.**

DATE : 1 November 2018

ORDER :

1. This Motion has been taken out for condonation of 69 days delay in taking out this Motion for setting aside the order dated 14th June 2018 passed by the Prothonotary &

Senior Master rejecting the Petitioner's Appeal under Rule 986 of the High Court (O.S.) Rules for non removal of office objections.

2. We have perused the Affidavit of Shri. Naresh G., Deputy Commissioner, CGST and Central Excise, Raigad Commissionerate dated 16th October 2018 in support of the Motion and are satisfied with the reasons indicated therein for the delay in taking out this Application as also reasons for not removing the office objections within time.

3. Accordingly, Notice of Motion is allowed in terms of prayer clauses (a) and (b).

4. Needless to state that the office objections, if not removed within a period of four weeks from today, the Appeal would stands dismissed without reference to the Court.

[RIYAZ I. CHAGLA J.]

[M.S. SANKLECHA, J.]