

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

REVIEW PETITION (L) NO.58 OF 2017
IN
WRIT PETITION NO.1408 OF 2016
WITH
NOTICE OF MOTION NO.546 OF 2017

Suraj Bhagwan Pawar and Others ...Petitioners

vs.

The State of Maharashtra and Others ...Respondents

Mr. S.G. Kurup and Shivaji P. Yadav , for the Petitioners

Mr. Reddy (Aradwad) Jagdish, for Respondent No.2.

Mr. Manish Upadhyay, AGP for Respondent No. 1.

CORAM : SHANTANU KEMKAR &
SMT.ANUJA PRABHUDESSAI, JJ.

DATE : JULY 16, 2018
(IN CHAMBER)

P.C.:

. Parties through their counsel.

2. The Notice of Motion No. 546 of 2017 is filed for seeking condonation of delay in filing the Review Petition. The delay is of 24 days.

3. Having gone through the reasons assigned for condonation of delay, we find that the delay has been satisfactorily explained. Accordingly, we condoned the delay in filing the Review Petition.

4. Notice of Motion is accordingly disposed of.
5. We have heard the learned counsel for the parties on the Review Petition.
6. Having gone through the Review Petition as also the order dated 20th September, 2017 passed in Writ Petition No. 1408 of 2016 of which the review is sought, we are of the view that no case is made out to review the order. We have denied the reliefs claimed by the Petitioners in the Appeal before the High Power Committee taking into consideration that at the instance of the Petitioners who are individuals, the S.R.A. scheme cannot be set aside more particularly keeping in view the fact that the Society has not challenged the same and that the scheme has already been taken into effect long back of which the Petitioner No. 1 is already a beneficiary.
7. The scope of review jurisdiction is extremely limited and the Petitioners have failed to make out a case for invoking the said jurisdiction.
8. In the circumstances, we dismiss the Review Petition.

(SMT. ANUJA PRABHUDESSAI, J.) (SHANTANU KEMKAR, J.)