

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION**

NOTICE OF MOTION NO. 1851 OF 2017

IN

APPEAL (L) NO. 462 OF 2015

IN

ARBITRATION PETITION NO. 933 OF 2013

Union of India	}	Applicant
in the matter of		
Union of India	}	Appellant
versus		
M/s. Bright Power Project(I)	}	
Pvt. Ltd.	}	Respondent

Ms. Shaista Haidi I/b. Mr. Suresh Kumar
for the applicant.

Mr. Amrut M. Vernekar for the
respondent.

**CORAM :- S. C. DHARMADHIKARI &
S. V. KOTWAL, JJ.**

DATE :- NOVEMBER 1, 2018

P.C. :-

1. Having heard both sides and finding that there is sufficient cause shown for setting aside the conditional order passed by the Prothonotary and Senior Master under Rule 986 of the Bombay High Court (Original Side) Rules, 1980, the notice of motion is made absolute in terms of prayer clause (a). The order of the Prothonotary and Senior Master is set aside on the condition that all compliances shall be made with the requirements in the Bombay High Court (Original Side) Rules, 1980.

2. One of the compliances is that this court should be satisfied that the appeal is filed within time. Since it is conceded that the appeal is filed within time, the Registry shall not raise the issue of time bar or limitation. Let the compliance with other requirements with the Rules be made within a period of three weeks from today and thereafter, the Registry shall register this appeal and place it for admission.

(S. V. KOTWAL, J.)

(S.C.DHARMADHIKARI, J.)