IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

INCOME TAX APPEAL NO.6164 OF 2010

The Commissioner of Income Tax 21 … Appellant
V/s.
Shri Anil Kumar Malhotra … Respondent

WITH
INCOME TAX APPEAL NO.1176 OF 2013

The Commissioner of Income Tax-Central-III … Appellant
V/s.
M/s Citygold Management Services Pvt. Ltd. … Respondent

WITH
INCOME TAX APPEAL NO.1195 OF 2015

Pr. Commissioner of Income Tax-3 … Appellant
V/s.
Shapoorji Palloonji & Co. Ltd. … Respondent

WITH
INCOME TAX APPEAL NO.1210 OF 2015

The Commissioner of Income Tax- Central III … Appellant
V/s.
M/s Cello Thermoware Ltd. … Respondent

WITH
INCOME TAX APPEAL NO.1247 OF 2015

Pr. Commissioner of Income-Tax-2 … Appellant
V/s.
Shri Paras D. Gundecha … Respondent

WITH
INCOME TAX APPEAL NO.1612 OF 2018

Pr. Commissioner of Income-Tax-8 … Appellant
V/s.
Zenriba Estate & Investments Pvt. Ltd. … Respondent

Mr.Suresh Kumar for the Appellants.
Mr.Rahul Hakani i/by Mr.Ajay R. Singh for the Respondent in ITXA No.6164 of 2010.
Mr.Atul Jasani for the Respondent in ITXA No.1176 of 2013, 1195 of 2015.
Ms.Vasanti Patel for the Respondent in ITXA No.1210 of 2015.
Ms.Aasifa Khan for the Respondent in ITXA No.1247 of 2015.
Mr.B.V.Jhaveri for the Respondent in ITXA No.1612 of 2018.

CORAM : AKIL KURESHI AND
M.S.SANKLECHA, JJ.
DATE : DECEMBER 21, 2018.

P.C.:-

1. These Appeals under Section 260-A of the Income Tax Act, 1961 (the Act) challenge the orders passed by the Income Tax Appellate Tribunal (the Tribunal).

2. Mr.Suresh Kumar, learned Counsel appearing for the Revenue states that he has been instructed not to press these appeals. This for the reason that the tax effect in each of these appeals is less than Rs.50 lakhs as provided in CBDT Circular No.3 of 2018 dated 11th July, 2018.

3. Accordingly, all these Appeals are dismissed as not pressed.

4. Refund of Court Fees, as per Rules.

(M.S.SANKLECHA,J.) **(AKIL KURESHI,J.)**

....