

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION
PUBLIC INTEREST LITIGATION LODGING NO. 103 OF 2017**

Khurshed Soli DhondyPetitioner
versus

Her Royal Majesty,
Queen Beatrix of the Kingdom of the Netherlands
and ors.Respondents

Mr. Khurshed S. Dhony, petitioner-in-person.

**CORAM : RANJIT MORE &
SMT.ANUJA PRABHUDESSAI, JJ.**

DATE : 11th JUNE, 2018.

P. C. :

1 Heard the petitioner-in-person. We have gone through the entire petition. There are no prayers in the petition. The petitioner has made following averments which reads as follows :

"36. The Dutch Constitution needs to be amended so that there should not be anyone else open for Dutch Slavery. The Majestic Sovereign, The King of the Netherlands and His Daughters and Their Predecessors must Regain, Retain, Reign and Hold Power forever; instead of fraudulent Dutch Subjects hanging on to thrive with their whims and fancies and their famous Hankey Pan-key in Slavery and Defaming my father and myself Worldwide that brought them fame."

2. Be that as it may, this petition is filed against the Dutch Government and respondent No.1 is shown as the subject of the former Queen of Beatrix of the kingdom of Netherlands. No jurisdiction under Article 226 of the Constitution of India is conferred upon this Court to entertain this petition.

3. That apart, the Prothonotary and Senior Master by his order dated 21st November, 2017 specifically observed that the petition is not in consonance with the High Court Original Side Rules and unless the petition is amended, nothing can be done. In that view of the matter, the petitioner was granted liberty to take out appropriate application with further direction to him to comply with the office objections within four weeks. Despite that, the petitioner has not complied with the office objections.

4. In the light of the above, the petition is dismissed.

[SMT.ANUJA PRABHUDESSAI, J.]

[RANJIT MORE, J.]