

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

CHAMBER SUMMONS NO. 230 OF 2015

IN

WRIT PETITION NO. 105 OF 2014

Chandradhar R. Mishra

....Applicant

**IN THE MATTER BETWEEN :**

Nutan Hindi Sahitya Mandal

and anr.

...Petitioners

V/s.

The Deputy Director of Education,

Mumbai and Ors.

....Respondents

\* \* \* \* \*

Mr. C.R. Mishra, applicant in person.

Mr. Arvind G. Kothari, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Kedar Dighe, AGP for respondent-State.

**Coram : A.S. Oka, &**

**Sandeep K. Shinde, JJ.**

**Monday, 26 November, 2018.**

**P.C. :**

1. Learned Counsel appearing for the writ petitioners, without prejudice to rights and contentions of writ petitioners, states that they will implead the applicant

in the Chamber summons as the party respondent no.3. We accept the said statement. Accordingly, Chamber Summons is made absolute in terms of prayer clause (a).

2. The writ petitioners shall implead applicant as the party respondent no.4 by amending the writ petition within a period of two weeks from the date on which this order is uploaded.

3. We also permit consequential amendments. Accordingly, Chamber Summons is disposed off.

(SANDEEP K. SHINDE, J)

(A.S. OKA, J)